

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,229	04/20/2000	ROLF BRANDENBERGER	08846-076001	8809
7590 12/15/2003			EXAMINER	
ANDREW N PARFOMAK			SINGH, SUNIL	
FISH & RICHA	ARDSON			
45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2800			3673	
NEW YORK,	NY 10111	;	DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-¢		Application No.	Applicant(s)	21/			
	•	09/508,229	BRANDENBERG	ER ET AL.			
3	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sunil Singh	3673				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minim atutory period will apply and will expire SI will by statute cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered time X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	∍ły. communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .					
•	·	2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8,10-17 and 21-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-8,10-17 and 21-25 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 26-28,30-35 and 37-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 29 and 36 is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objection to the drawing(s) be held ing the correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
2) Not 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other: .	lo(s) TO-152)			

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 line 1, "the sprayed concrete" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 27, 28, 34, 35, 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pulkkinen (US 4695188).

Pulkkinen discloses a cladding on a partially-overhanging substrate which comprises, in sequence starting from the substrate; a drainage means (15); a waterproofing membrane (12) and a layer of reinforcing (fibre) concrete (11). The substrate is given an initial layer of concrete (13). With regards to claim 41, concrete (13) is considered as the fastening means used to secure said waterproofing membrane (12) to said drainage means (15).

Art Unit: 3673

With regards to claims 34, 35, 40, 42, the recited method steps are considered to be inherent to the use of the apparatus disclosed by Pulkkinen.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 26,30-33, 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pulkkinen '188 in view of Wipo document (98/24738).

Pulkkinen discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Pulkkinen lacks a waterproofing membrane being a plastics material and a layer of coalesced particles of thermoplastic polymer formed from a sprayed aqueous dispersion.

Wipo document '738 teaches a waterproofing membrane being a layer of coalesced particles of thermoplastic polymer and a layer of sprayed concrete containing reinforcing fibers (see abstract and pages 2-5). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Pulkkinen by substituting the waterproofing means as taught by Wipo '738 for the waterproofing means disclosed by Pulkkinen since such a substitution would allow for the layer of concrete (meaning the initial layer of concrete and the outermost layer of concrete) to make a more effective bonding with the waterproofing membrane.

With regards to claim 30, Wipo '738 discloses that the waterproofing membrane is a plastics material with anchoring means for subsequent layers (see page 3).

Art Unit: 3673

With regards to claim 32 and 33, Pulkkinen is silent about the reinforcing concrete being sprayed. Wipo '738 teaches the layer of reinforced fiber concrete is applied by spraying (see pages 4 and 5). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Pulkkinen by spraying on the reinforced fibre concrete (11) as taught by Wipo '738 since creating the cladding would be simpler since the waterproofing member as well as the initial concrete were sprayed on.

With regards to claims 37-39, the recited method steps are considered to be obvious in view of the combination as described above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-8, 10-17, 21-25 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 29 and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Pulkkinen teaches to have a reinforced plastic layer in between the waterproofing membrane and the layer of concrete. The examiner agrees; however, there is nothing in the claim that precludes having such an intermediate layer. The applicant alleges that the structure disclosed by Pulkkinen teaches to keep water in. The examiner fails to see such language in the Pulkkinen reference. Instead, in column 1 of the Pulkkinen reference it is clear that Pulkkinen wants to keep water out (see col. 1 lines 12-18). It should be further noted that substituting the waterproofing

Art Unit: 3673

means as taught by Wipo '738 for the waterproofing means disclosed by Pulkkinen does not destroy Pulkkinen in anyway.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, one skilled in the art would modify Pulkkinen by substituting the waterproofing means as taught by Wipo '738 for the waterproofing means disclosed by Pulkkinen since such a substitution would allow for the layer of concrete (meaning the initial layer of concrete and the outermost layer of concrete) to make a more effective bonding with the waterproofing membrane.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3673

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10.

examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2168.

HEATHER SHACKELFORD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Sunil Singh

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3673

Page 6